G. R. S. 58]

Previous references. 1923. (Omitted) **File No.** 266-23

Serial Nos. 1-3.

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 3

Part B for May 1924

SUBJECT

R. A. Subject No 43 re Facilities for the Administration of Omitted Institutions.

Orders passed separately

Vide G.O. No 770-81/Edn 80-24-(1-2)

Dated 28.11.24.

Muz. 21 - 23-A.

GEN

REVENUE—(MUZRAI). Dno 2066
(*Nos. 43 to 47.*)

SUBJECT NO. 43.

Facilities for the administration of Muzrai institutions.

Mr. T. Ramachendra Rao, Magadi Taluk.

(*a*) *Mr. T. Ramachandaa Rao* pointed out that owing to want of tasdiks and adequate scale of pay, the work of many of the Muzrai institutions was at a standstill and as such *utsavams* and other ceremonials were not being regularly observed. As the present scale of establishment was fixed long ago, he requested that the same might be suitably revised by Government.

The Second Member of Council. stated that, in regard to the major institutions, Government had already considered this question and revised the scale of establishment, and that as regards the minor institutions, the revision was left to the Deputy Commissioner. He added that, if particular instances in which the scale of Establishment was low and required revision were brought to the notice of Government, necessary steps would be taken.

(*b*) *The Member* urged that Amildars might be empowered to sanction bills up to Rs. 25 or Rs. 100 per annum on the recommendation of the Dharmadarsis.

The Dewan replied that the subject would be noted for consideration.

(*c*) The member urged that contractors undertaking work relating to muzrai institutions may be given advances on proper security being furnished and the bills for the work done or materials collected promptly paid.

The Second member of Council pointed out that the inconvenience complained of was not likely to exist in view of the fact that muzrai works had been transferred to the P. W. Department. He added that the system of paying advances to contractors was wrong in principle and could not therefore be approved by Government.

(*d*) The member urged that, in places where there were muzrai institutions, a choultry and other facilities such as water-supply etc., might be provided at the time of *jatras*, as much inconvenience was being felt by pilgrims for want of the same.

The Second member of Council replied that wherever Government found it necessary, they did provide such facilities if funds were available at the disposal of the institutions concerned and that, if in any particular cases such facilities were not provided for, representations might be made locally when they would be duly considered.

Dno. regg to the Members
to Enquire. Few appld
Replies in respect of a, Cas
26.4.29 are not rec'd as they were given
in the assembly itself - with regd
to (b) Regt to Mun Amildars' powers asking
for reports
Sd
11/5/29

Secy
3.5.29

No. 2. 3 The Aberpai Company No. 1611 A.S.S. 2.
Invites reply to paras (b) of the above on the
matter of empowering the Ambalams to sanction
bills up to Rs. 25 etc Rs. 100 per annum on the
recommendation of the Sharmadarsis of Aberpai
estate, and requests him to furnish his opi-
nion on the representation.

Sec

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 266 of 1923

Serial No.

3. From, the Muz. Comr
Letter no. C-1195 Dd 12.5.23
Furnishes his opinion
on the representation.
The Muz. Comr states that
regarding sanctioned charges,
the Huildar can draw the
amount on bills presented
to the Treasury, and as regards
unsanctioned charges, in cases
where a ref. to the Dy. Comr
would entail delay and risk
of loss, bills up to Rs 50/-
in each case may be allowed
to be sanctioned and drawn
by the Huildar — if Dharma
Darsis approve of the expr.
formal sanctions being obtained
within one month. A Committee is being
submitted. formed for the purpose to
consider

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB- 3750—1 8 22

G. R. S. III. 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 86 of 192[illegible].

Serial No.

The question of decentralisation Muz powers to local officers. Can this be rep[orted] to that Committee for consideration?

BB 22/5/24

W. 5/24.

Let draft thus may be returned to the proposed Committee

Pe 27-5.

SWD 28/5

655/20.5 II Member)

Yes dy 30/5 Hegde 30/5

Forwarded unofficially to the Mfg: Cmte: & convenor of the Decentralisation Committee for Consideration of the subject in the meeting & submission of recommendations in the matter.

By order

Muz UO no 22-23.

& 23-6-24 28/6/24

24 6 24

Secretary

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

Muz 4]

SUBJECT ....

.. ..

... .

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Section Muz.

File No. 211-23.

Serial No. 2.

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, the *192* .

No. 1611

Date of issue 6-5-24

To

The Muz Comr.

Signed by M T Ramaraja Sei,

I am directed to invite reference to Subject no. 43(b) brought up during The D. R. B. meeting held in october 1923 in the matter of empowering the Amildars to Sanction over. bills

up to Rs 25 or Rs 100
per annum on the
recommendation of
the Dharmadars of
Muzrai inams and
to request that you
will be so kind as
to send up a report on the representation
~~thereon for orders~~
~~of Govt together~~
with your opinion.

I have etc

for 5-12.

Dy Rev. Secretary

EG

1/5/24

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563– GPB—2 500—27 7 22

10

No C 1195/23-24 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 12th M a y 1924.

From

K. Chandy, Esquire., B.A., Muz. 266-23-3.

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

B a n g a l o r e.

14 MAY 1924 MUZRAI

Dno 2145

2207

To

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No.1611/Muz.266-23-2 dated the 6th instant in re the*marginally noted subject No.43 (b) of the Dasara Representative Assembly -- meeting of October 1923, I have the honor to state that as regards sanctioned charges ---- covered either by regular scale or special sanction, the Amildar can draw the amount on bills presented at the Treasury.

*Empowering amildars to sanction bills up to Rs.25/- or Rs.100/- per annum.

2. As regards unsanctioned charges, in cases where a reference to the Deputy Commissioners would entail delay and risk of loss, bills up to Rs.50/- in each case may be allowed to be sanctioned - and drawn by the amildar if Dharmadarsis approve of the expenditure. Intimation of such action should however be immediately given to the Deputy Commissioner and within one month the sanction to the -- estimate or bill should be got regularised under Standing Orders.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K. Chandy

Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.